arXiv:funct-an/9708002v2 22 Nov 2000

REMO GARATTINI(*)

GREEN'S FUNCTIONS AND ENERGY DECAY ON HOMOGENEOUS SPACES(**)

Abstract. We consider a homogeneous space $X = (X, d, m)$ of dimension $\nu \geq 1$ and a local regular Dirichlet form in $L^2(X, m)$. We prove that if a Poincaré inequality holds on every pseudo-ball $B(x, R)$ of X, with local characteristic constant $c_0(x)$ and $c_1(r)$, then a Green's function estimate from above and below is obtained. A Saint-Venant-like principle is recovered in terms of the Energy's decay.

FUNZIONI DI GREEN E DECADIMENTO DELL'ENERGIA IN SPAZI OMOGENEI

Sunto. Si considera uno spazio omogeneo $X = (X, d, m)$ di dimensione $\nu \geq 1$ e una forma di Dirichlet locale regolare in $L^2(X, m)$. Si dimostra che se una disuguaglianza di Poincaré vale su ogni pseudo-sfera $B(x, R)$ di X, con costanti caratteristiche locali $c_0(x)$ e $c_1(r)$, allora si ricava una stima della funzione di Green da sopra e da sotto. Il principio tipo Saint-Venant viene ottenuto in termini di decadimento dell'Energia.

1. Introduction and Results

We consider a *connected, locally compact topological space* X. We suppose that a *distance* d is defined on X and we suppose that the balls

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}, \qquad r > 0,$$

form a basis of open neighborhoods of $x \in X$. Moreover, we suppose that a (positive) Radon measure m is given on X, with supp $m = X$. The triple (X, d, m) is assumed to satisfy the following property: there exist some constants $0 < R_0 \leq +\infty, \nu > 0$ and a positive function $c_0(x)$ together with $c_0^{-1}(x)$ which belong to $L^{\infty}_{loc}(X_0)$, where

---

(*)Mécanique et Gravitation, Université de Mons-Hainaut, Faculté des Sciences, 15 Avenue Maistriau, B-7000 Mons, Belgium

Università degli Studi di Bergamo, Facoltà di Ingegneria, Viale Marconi, 5, 24044 Dalmine (Bergamo) Italy

E-mail: Garattini@mi.infn.it.

(**) Memoria presentata da Marco Biroli, uno dei XL..

X_0 is a relatively compact open subset of X, such that for every $x \in X$ and every $0 < r \leq R < R_0$

$$0 < c_0(x) \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\nu} m(B(x,R)) \leq m(B(x,r)). \tag{1.1}$$

Such a triple (X, d, m) will be called a homogeneous space of dimension ν. We point out, however, that a given exponent ν occurring in (1.1) should be considered, more precisely, as an upper bound of the “homogeneous dimension”, hence we should better call (X, d, m) a homogeneous space of dimension less or equal than ν. We further suppose that we are also given a *strongly local, regular, Dirichlet form* a in the Hilbert space $L^2(X,m)$ - in the sense of M. Fukushima [4], - whose domain in $L^2(X,m)$ we shall denote by $\mathcal{D}[a]$. Furthermore, we shall restrict our study to Dirichlet forms of diffusion type, that is to forms a that have the following *strong local property:* $a(u,v) = 0$ for every $u, v \in \mathcal{D}[a]$ with v constant on a neighborhood of supp u. We recall that the following integral representation of the form a holds

$$a(u,v) = \int_X \alpha(u,v)(dx), \qquad u, v \in \mathcal{D}[a],$$

where $\alpha(u,v)$ is a uniquely defined signed Radon measure on X, such that $\alpha(d,d) \leq m$, with $d \in \mathcal{D}_{loc}[a]$: this last condition is fundamental for the existence of cut off functions associated to the distance. Moreover, the restriction of the measure $\alpha(u,v)$ to any open subset Ω of X, with $\overline{\Omega} \subset X_0$, depends only on the restrictions of the functions u, v to Ω. Therefore, the definition of the measure $\alpha(u,v)$ can be unambiguously extended to all m-measurable functions u, v on X that coincide $m - a.e.$ on every compact subset of Ω with some functions of $\mathcal{D}[a]$. The space of all such functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{loc}[a, \Omega]$. Moreover we denote by $\mathcal{D}[a, \Omega]$ the closure of $\mathcal{D}[a] \cap C_0[\Omega]$ in $\mathcal{D}[a]$. The homogeneous metric d and the energy form a associated to the *energy measure* α, both given on X_0, are then assumed to be mutually related by the following basic assumption:

There exists a constant $k \geq 1$ such that $\forall\ x \in X_0$, $\forall\ R$ with $0 < R < R_0$ the following Poincaré inequality holds [1]:

$$\int\limits_{B(x,R)} \left|u - \bar{u}_{B(x,R)}\right|^2 dm \leq c_1 R^2 \int\limits_{B(x,kR)} \alpha(u,u)(dx) \tag{1.2}$$

for all $u \in D[a, B(x,kR)]$, where

$$\bar{u}_{B(x,R)} = \frac{1}{m(B(x,R))} \int\limits_{B(x,R)} u\ dm,.$$

By assumption (1.2), it can be shown the validity of the following Sobolev type inequality of exponent s for every $x \in X_0$ and every $0 < R < R_0$:

$$\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x,R))} \int\limits_{B(x,R)} |u|^s\, dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq c_1 R \left(\int\limits_{B(x,R)} \alpha(u,u)(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $u \in D\left[a, B\left(x, kR\right)\right]$ and supp $u \subset B\left(x, R\right)$. Let us consider the following simple generalization of the Poincaré inequality

$$\int\limits_{B(x,R)} \left|u-\bar{u}_{B(x,R)}\right|^{2} dm \leq c_1^2\left(R\right) R^2 \int\limits_{B(x,kR)} \alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right) \tag{1.4}$$

where $c_1\left(r\right)$ is a decreasing function of r, then the following Sobolev inequality of exponent s

$$\left(\frac{1}{m\left(B\left(x,R\right)\right)}\int\limits_{B(x,R)} \left|u\right|^{s} dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \tau^3\left(x\right) c_1\left(R\right) R \left(\int\limits_{B(x,R)} \alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.5}$$

can be proved, where we have defined $\tau\left(x\right)=\left(\sup\limits_{B(x,2R)} c_0^{-1}\left(x\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this paper we will fix our attention on Green function estimates from above and below by using an Harnack's inequality obtained in Ref.[5]. Moreover, we will give the behaviour of the *Energy decay* related to the Green function under consideration. We begin here by recalling the results given in [5].

Theorem 1 (Harnack)**.** *Let* (1.1) , (1.4)*,* (1.5) *hold, and let* u *be a non-negative solution of* $a\left(u,v\right)=0$. *Let* $\mathcal{O}$ *be an open subset of* X_0 *and* $u \in \mathcal{D}_{loc}\left[\mathcal{O}\right]$, $\forall v \in \mathcal{D}_0\left[\mathcal{O}\right]$ *with* $B\left(x,r\right) \subset \mathcal{O}$*, then*

$$ess \sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} \tilde{u} \leq \exp \gamma\mu \; ess \inf_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} \tilde{u},$$

where $\tilde{u}$ *is the function in* $L^2\left(m,B\right)$ *associated with* u*,* $\gamma \equiv \gamma\left(\nu,k\right)$*, with* k *a positive constant and* $\mu\left(x,r\right)=\tau^4\left(x\right)c_1\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)$*. A standard consequence of the previous Theorem is the following*

Corollary 1. *Suppose that*

$$\int\limits_{r}^{R} e^{-\gamma\mu(x,\rho)}\frac{d\rho}{\rho} \rightarrow \infty \qquad \textit{for} \qquad r \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.6}$$

then the solution is continuous in the point under consideration. In particular, if $\mu\left(x,\rho\right) \approx o\left(\log\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right)$*, then there exists* $c>0$ *such that*

$$\underset{B(x,r)}{osc}\, u \leq c\frac{\left(\log\frac{1}{R}\right)}{\left(\log\frac{1}{r}\right)}\underset{B(x,R)}{osc}\, u. \tag{1.7}$$

Before presenting the main results we assume that $\partial B\left(x,r\right)$ be connected and we prove the following

Lemma 1. *Let* $\bar{X} \equiv B\left(x,\frac{9}{4}r\right) - B\left(x,\frac{3}{4}r\right)$ *be a connected set and let* l *be equal to* $\sup\limits_{x\in B(x_0,2R)} c_0^{-1}\left(x\right)16^{-\nu}$. *Then there exists a finite number* l *of overlapping balls of radius* $r/8$ *joining two arbitrary points* x_1 *and* x_l *of* $\bar{X}$ *which is at a distance greater than* $r/2$ *from the origin.*

Proof. $\bar{X}$ can be covered with a finite set $\tilde{X}$ of balls of radius $r/16$. We can assume that the ball $B_1 \equiv B(x_1, r/16)$ is in the considered covering and we now assume that every ball in the covering $\tilde{X}$ intersects $\bar{X}$, i.e. $B_i \cap \bar{X} \neq \emptyset$ for every $i = 1 \dots l$. Since $\bar{X}$ is a connected set, there exists a second ball $B_2 \equiv B(x_2, r/16) \subset \tilde{X}$ with $B_2 \cap \bar{X} \neq \emptyset$ s.t. the closure of B_1 and the closure of B_2 do intersect, namely $\bar{B}_1 \cap \bar{B}_2 \neq \emptyset$. Consider the set $X_{12} = \overline{B_1 \cup B_2}$. By hypothesis on $\bar{X}$ and $\tilde{X}$, there exists a ball $B_3 \equiv B(x_3, r/16) \subset \tilde{X}$, $B_3 \cap \bar{X} \neq \emptyset$ s.t. $X_{12} \cap \bar{B}_3 \neq \emptyset$. Now we can consider the new set X_{123} defined by $X_{123} = \overline{B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3}$. By repeating the previous steps, we can say that there exists a ball $B_4 \equiv B(x_4, r/16) \subset \tilde{X}$, $B_4 \cap \bar{X} \neq \emptyset$ s.t. $X_{123} \cap \bar{B}_4 \neq \emptyset$. By iterating this procedure we can construct two sets $X_{1\dots n}$ and $Y_{n+1\dots l}$ s.t. $\underset{1\dots n}{X} = \overline{\underset{i=1\dots n}{\cup} B(x_i, r/16)}$, $\underset{n+1\dots l}{Y} = \overline{\underset{i=n+1\dots l}{\cup} B(x_i, r/16)}$ with

$$\underset{1\dots n}{X} \cap \underset{n+1\dots l}{Y} \neq \emptyset.$$

Indeed if $\underset{1\dots n}{X}$ and $\underset{n+1\dots l}{Y}$ were s.t. $\underset{1\dots n}{X} \cap \underset{n+1\dots l}{Y} = \emptyset$ this would mean that the space $\bar{X}$ is not connected against the hypothesis. The chain of balls is obtained by joining the centers of the balls $B(x_i, r/16)$ forming the set

$$\underset{1\dots l}{X} = \overline{\underset{i=1\dots l}{\cup} B(x_i, r/16)}$$

previously built which starts from x_1 and stops to x_l. To obtain the overlapping of the balls is sufficient to consider $B(x_i, r/8)$ and the new sets

$$\underset{1\dots n}{\hat{X}} = \underset{i=1\dots n}{\cup} B(x_i, r/8)\,; \qquad \underset{n+1\dots l}{\hat{Y}} = \underset{i=n+1\dots l}{\cup} B(x_i, r/8)\,;$$

with

$$\underset{1\dots n}{\hat{X}} \cap \underset{n+1\dots l}{\hat{Y}} \neq \emptyset$$

for every n and the Lemma is proved. Now we can state our main results

Theorem 2 (Size of the Green function)**.** *$\forall B(x_0, R) \subset B(x_0, 20R) \subset\subset X_0$ and $\forall r \in \left(0, \frac{R}{16}\right]$, the following estimate holds for all $x \in \partial B(x_0, r)$*

$$\int_r^R \frac{c_0(x)\exp(-l\gamma\mu(x,s))}{m(B(x,s))} s^2 \frac{ds}{s} \le G^x_{B(x,R)}(y) \le \int_r^R \frac{\tau^6(x)\, c_1^2(r)\exp(l\gamma\mu(x,s))}{m(B(x,s))} s^2 \frac{ds}{s},.$$

where $l = \sup_{x \in B(x_0, 2R)} c_0^{-1}(x)\, 16^{-\nu}$ is a finite number of balls of radius $r/8$ covering $\partial B(x_0, r)$.

Theorem 3 (Saint-Venant-like principle)**.** *Let u be a local solution in X_0 and $B(x_0, 4R_0) \subset\subset X_0$ with $R_0 = k^2 R$. Let*

$$\psi(r) = \int_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B\left(x_0, \frac{2r}{q}\right)} \alpha(u,u)(dx)\,. \tag{1.8}$$

Then

$$\psi(r) \le c c_1^4(qr)\, \psi(R_0) \exp\left(-\int_r^R \exp\left(-2\gamma\mu(x_0,\rho)\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\right)$$

$$+\exp\left(-\int_r^R \exp\left(-5l\gamma\mu\left(x_0,\rho\right)\right)\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\psi\left(R_0\right). \tag{1.9}$$

In particular, if $\gamma\mu\left(x,r\right)\leq o\left(\log\log\frac{1}{r}\right)$ *then*

$$\psi\left(r\right)\leq\left(\frac{\log\frac{1}{R}}{\log\frac{1}{r}}\right)\psi\left(R_0\right), \tag{1.10}$$

with $k\geq 1$.

From the point of view of partial differential equations these results can be applied to two important classes of operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$:

- **a):** *Doubly Weighted uniformly elliptic operators* in divergence form with measurable coefficients, whose coefficient matrix $A=\left(a_{ij}\right)$ satisfies

  $$w\left(x\right)\left|\xi\right|^2\leq\left\langle A\xi,\xi\right\rangle\leq v\left(x\right)\left|\xi\right|^2.$$

  Here $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the usual dot product; w and v are weight functions, respectively belonging to A_2 and D_∞ such that the following *Poincaré* inequality

  $$\left(\frac{1}{\left|v\left(B\right)\right|}\int_B\left|f\left(x\right)-f_B\right|^2 v\ dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq cr\left(\frac{1}{\left|w\left(B\right)\right|}\int_B\left|\nabla f\right|^2 w\ dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

  holds.
- **b):** *Doubly Weighted Hörmander type operators* [3], whose form is $L=X_k^*\left(\alpha^{hk}\left(x\right)X_h\right)$ where $X_h, h=1,\dots,m$ are smooth vector fields in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfy the Hörmander condition and $\alpha=\left(\alpha^{hk}\right)$ is any symmetric $m\times m$ matrix of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$,such that

  $$w\left(x\right)\sum_i\left\langle X_i,\xi\right\rangle^2\leq\sum_{i,j}\alpha_{ij}\left(x\right)\xi_i\xi_j\leq v\left(x\right)\sum_i\left\langle X_i,\xi\right\rangle^2,$$

  where $X_i\xi\left(x\right)=\left\langle X_i,\nabla\xi\right\rangle,\ i=1,\dots,m,$ $\nabla\xi$ is the usual gradient of ξ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the usual inner product on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following *Poincaré* inequality for vector fields

  $$\left(\frac{1}{\left|v\left(B\right)\right|}\int_B\left|f\left(x\right)-f_B\right|^2 v\ dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq cr\left(\frac{1}{\left|w\left(B\right)\right|}\int_B\left(\sum_j\left|\left\langle X_j,\nabla f\left(x\right)\right\rangle\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w\ dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

  holds, with $w\in A_2$ and $v\in D_\infty$.

2. Estimates of the Green's functions and capacities of balls

We define the *Green* function $G_{\mathcal{O}}^x$ for the problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} a\left(u,v\right)=\int\limits_{\mathcal{O}} fv\ m\left(dx\right)\\ u\in\mathcal{D}_0\left[\mathcal{O}\right],\quad\forall v\in\mathcal{D}_0\left[\mathcal{O}\right]\end{array}\right., \tag{2.1}$$

$\mathcal{O}$ is a given ball $B(x_0, R_0) \subset X_0$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}$. The regularized Green function $G^x_{\rho,\mathcal{O}}$ associated with (2.1) is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a\left(G^x_{\rho,\mathcal{O}}, v\right) = \fint_{B(z,\rho)} vm\,(dx) \\ G^x_{\rho,\mathcal{O}} \in \mathcal{D}_0\left[\mathcal{O}\right], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}_0\left[\mathcal{O}\right] \end{array} \right. , \tag{2.2}$$

where we have defined $\fint_{B(z,\rho)} = \left(\frac{1}{m(B(x,\rho))}\right) \int_{B(x,\rho)}$, with $\rho > 0$ and $B(x,\rho) \subset \mathcal{O}$. We define the *capacity* of the ball $B(x,r)$ with respect to the ball $B(x,dr)$, $d > 1$, relative to the form a, by setting

$$cap\left(B(x,r), B(x,dr)\right) = \min\left\{a(v,v) : v \in \mathcal{D}_0\left[B(x,dr)\right], v \geq 1 \ m-a.e. \text{ on } B(x,r)\right\}.$$

By Sobolev-Poincaré's inequality (jj), the minimum is achieved and the unique minimizer $u \equiv u_{B(x,r)}$ is called the *equilibrium potential* of $B(x,r)$ with respect to $B(x,dr)$, relative to the form a.

Theorem 4. *Let* $G^x_{B(x,dr)}$ *be the Green function of problem* (2.1), $\mathcal{O} = B(x,dr)$, *with singularity at* x, $d \geq 2$, $B(x,4r) \subset\subset X_0$. *Suppose* $\partial B(x,r)$ *be connected, then the following estimates hold:* $\forall y \in \partial B(x,r)$

$$\frac{e^{-l\gamma\mu(x,r)}}{cap\left(B(x,r), B(x,dr)\right)} \leq G^x_{B(x,dr)}(y) \leq \frac{e^{l\gamma\mu(x,r)}}{cap\left(B(x,r), B(x,dr)\right)} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$c\frac{(d-1)^2 c_0(x)}{m(B(x,r))} r^2 \leq \left(cap\left(B(x,r), B(x,dr)\right)\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{d^2 r^2 c_1^2(r)\, \tau^6(x)}{m(B(x,r))}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $c_1(r)$ *is the decreasing function of assumption* (1.4) $l = \sup_{x \in B(x_0,2R)} c_0^{-1}(x)\, 16^{-\nu}$.

Proof. Let us consider the cut-off function φ of $B(x,r)$ in $B\left(x, \left(1 + \frac{d-1}{2}\right) r\right)$ as a test function, then

$$cap\left(B(x,r), B(x,dr)\right) \leq \int_{B(x,dr)} \alpha(\varphi,\varphi)(dy)$$

$$\leq \frac{40 d^\nu}{c_0(x)(d-1)^2} \frac{m(B(x,r))}{r^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

There exists a positive Radon measure $\nu \equiv \nu_{B(x,r)}$ called the *equilibrium measure* of $B(x,r)$ in $B(x,dr)$ relative to the form a, such that

$$a\left(u_{B(x,r)}, v\right) = \int_{B(x,dr)} \tilde{v}(y)\, \nu_{B(x,r)}(dy) \tag{2.6}$$

$\forall v \in \mathcal{D}_0\left[B(x,dr)\right]$. $\tilde{v}$ is the q.c. version of v, supp $\nu_{B(x,r)} \subset \partial B(x,r)$ and

$$cap\left(B(x,r), B(x,dr)\right) = a\left(u_{B(x,r)}, u_{B(x,r)}\right) = \nu_{B(x,r)}\left(\partial B(x,r)\right). \tag{2.7}$$

Since $u_{B(x,r)} \equiv 1$, m-a.e. on $B(x,r)$, $\rho < \frac{r}{2}$, we have

$$G^x_{\rho,B(x,dr)} \in C\left(B(x,dr) - B\left(x, \frac{r}{2}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_0\left[B(x,dr)\right].$$

Then

$$a\left(u_{B(x,r)}, G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\right) = \int \alpha\left(u_{B(x,r)}, G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\right)(dy) = \fint_{B(z,\rho)} = 1. \tag{2.8}$$

But

$$a\left(u_{B(x,r)}, G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\right) = \int_{B(x,dr)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}(y)\,\nu_{B(x,r)}(dy)$$

$$= \int_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}(y)\,\nu_{B(x,r)}(dy) = 1 \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$1 = \int_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}(y)\,\nu_{B(x,r)}(dy) \geq \inf_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\nu_{B(x,r)}(\partial B(x,r))$$

$$\Longrightarrow 1 \geq \inf_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)} cap\,(B(x,r), B(x,dr)) \tag{2.10}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{cap\,(B(x,r), B(x,dr))} \geq \inf_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\nu_{B(x,r)} \tag{2.11}$$

But

$$1 = \int_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}(y)\,\nu_{B(x,r)}(dy) \leq \sup_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\nu_{B(x,r)}(\partial B(x,r)),$$

this implies that

$$1 \leq \sup_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)} cap\,(B(x,r), B(x,dr))$$

that is

$$\frac{1}{cap\,(B(x,r), B(x,dr))} \leq \sup_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}. \tag{2.12}$$

Collecting together (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$\inf_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)} \leq \frac{1}{cap\,(B(x,r), B(x,dr))} \leq \sup_{\partial B(x,r)} G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}. \tag{2.13}$$

By Sobolev-Poincaré inequality, for $d \geq 2$, we have

$$cap\,(B(x,r), B(x,dr)) = a\left(u_{B(x,r)}, u_{B(x,r)}\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{d^{2}r^{2}c_{1}^{2}(r)\,\tau^{6}(x)} \int_{B(x,r)} u^{2}_{B(x,r)} m(dx) = \frac{1}{d^{2}r^{2}c_{1}^{2}(r)\,\tau^{6}(x)} m(B(x,r)), \tag{2.14}$$

which together with (2.5) shows that

$$\frac{(d-1)^{2}c_{0}(x)}{d^{\nu}40}\frac{r^{2}}{m(B(x,r))} \leq \frac{1}{cap\,(B(x,r), B(x,dr))}$$

$$\leq \frac{d^{2}r^{2}c_{1}^{2}(r)\,\tau^{6}(x)}{m(B(x,r))}. \tag{2.15}$$

By Lemma1, $\partial B\left(x,r\right)$ can be covered by a finite number l of overlapping balls of radius $r/8$ with distance greater than $r/4$ from x. This set has been denoted as

$$\underset{1\ldots l}{\hat{X}}=\underset{i=1\ldots l}{\cup}B\left(x_i,r/8\right).$$

Thus on each ball of $\underset{1\ldots l}{\hat{X}}$, a Harnack's inequality holds

$$\sup_{B_i}G^{x_i}_{\rho,B(x_i,dr)}\leq e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}\inf_{B_i}G^{x_i}_{\rho,B(x_i,dr)}. \tag{2.16}$$

Let $u\left(x\right)=G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}$. We begin with $B\left(x_1,r/8\right)$ and $B\left(x_2,r/8\right)$ both included in $\hat{X}$, then we obtain

$$u\left(x_1\right)\leq\sup_{B_1}u\left(x\right)\leq e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}\inf_{B_1}u\left(x\right)\leq e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}u\left(\tilde{x}_1\right)$$

$$\leq e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}\sup_{B_2}u\left(x\right)\leq\left(e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}\right)^2\inf_{B_2}u\left(x\right)\leq\left(e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}\right)^2u\left(x_2\right),$$

where $\tilde{x}_1\in B\left(x_1,r/8\right)\cap B\left(x_2,r/8\right)$. Let us consider the ball $B\left(x_3,r/8\right)\subset\underset{1\ldots l}{\hat{X}}$ as in Lemma1, then if $B\left(x_3,r/8\right)\cap B\left(x_1,r/8\right)\neq\emptyset$ then

$$u\left(x_1\right)\leq\left(e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}\right)^2u\left(x_1\right),$$

otherwise if $B\left(x_3,r/8\right)\cap B\left(x_1,r/8\right)=\emptyset$ one gets

$$u\left(x_1\right)\leq\left(e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}\right)^3u\left(x_1\right).$$

By iterating the process to the l balls of the chain, we get

$$u\left(x_1\right)\leq\ldots\leq\left(e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}\right)^l\inf_{B_l}u\left(x\right)\leq\left(e^{\gamma\mu(x,r)}\right)^lu\left(x_l\right).$$

Then, collecting together the inequality chain and taking into account that $u\left(x\right)=G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}$, we obtain

$$\sup_{\partial B(x,r)}G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\leq e^{l\gamma\mu(x,r)}\inf_{\partial B(x,r)}G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)} \tag{2.17}$$

Therefore, by previous results we have

$$\frac{1}{cap\left(B\left(x,r\right),B\left(x,dr\right)\right)}$$

$$\leq\sup_{\partial B(x,r)}G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\leq e^{l\gamma\mu(x,r)}\inf_{\partial B(x,r)}G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)},$$

then

$$\frac{e^{-l\gamma\mu(x,r)}}{cap\left(B\left(x,r\right),B\left(x,dr\right)\right)}\leq\inf_{\partial B(x,r)}G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)} \tag{2.18}$$

On the other hand

$$\inf_{\partial B(x,r)}G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\leq\frac{1}{cap\left(B\left(x,r\right),B\left(x,dr\right)\right)}\leq\sup_{\partial B(x,r)}G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}$$

$$\leq e^{l\gamma\mu(x,r)}\inf_{\partial B(x,r)}G^{x}_{\rho,B(x,dr)}\leq\frac{e^{l\gamma\mu(x,r)}}{cap\left(B\left(x,r\right),B\left(x,dr\right)\right)},$$

then

$$\sup_{\partial B(x,r)} G^x_{\rho,B(x,dr)} \le \frac{e^{l\gamma\mu(x,r)}}{cap\left(B\left(x,r\right),B\left(x,dr\right)\right)}. \tag{2.19}$$

Putting together (2.18) and (2.19) , we get

$$\frac{e^{-l\gamma\mu(x,r)}}{cap\left(B\left(x,r\right),B\left(x,dr\right)\right)} \le G^x_{B(x,dr)}\left(y\right) \le \frac{e^{l\gamma\mu(x,r)}}{cap\left(B\left(x,r\right),B\left(x,dr\right)\right)}. \tag{2.20}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2^n r < R < 2^{n+1} r$ $\forall j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ and let G^x_j be the Green function in $B\left(x, 2^j r\right)$ with singularity at x. Then by estimating from above and by Theorem 4 we have

$$G^x_j\left(y\right) \le \tau^6\left(x\right) \frac{c_1^2\left(2^{j-1}r\right)\exp\left(l\gamma\mu\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)\right)}{m\left(B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)\right)} d^2\left(2^{j-1}r\right)^2,$$

with $y \in \partial B\left(x, 2^{j-1}r\right)$. We introduce the function

$$u_j := G^x_j - G^x_{j-1} \qquad \text{in } B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right),$$

which is a solution of $a\left(u_j, v\right) = 0$. Indeed,

$$a\left(u_j,v\right) = a\left(G^x_j,v\right) - a\left(G^x_{j-1},v\right) = \fint_{B(x,\rho)} v\ m\left(dx\right) - \fint_{B(x,\rho)} v\ m\left(dx\right) = 0,$$

with $u_j \in \mathcal{D}_{loc}\left[B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)\right]$, $\qquad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}_0\left[B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)\right]$.

$$\tilde{u}_j\left(y\right) - G^x_j\left(y\right) = -G^x_{j-1}\left(y\right) = 0 \qquad \text{q.e., } y \in \partial B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)$$

$$\Longrightarrow \tilde{u}_j\left(y\right) \le \frac{c_j\left(r,x\right)}{m\left(B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)\right)}\left(2^{j-1}r\right)^2 \qquad \text{q.e. on } \partial B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right), \forall j = 1,\ldots,n$$

and $c_j\left(r,x\right) = \tau^6\left(x\right) c_1^2\left(2^{j-1}r\right)\exp\left(l\gamma\mu\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)\right)$. By the maximum principle

$$u_j\left(y\right) \le \frac{c_j\left(r,x\right)}{m\left(B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)\right)}\left(2^{j-1}r\right)^2 \qquad \text{m-a.e. in } B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right), j = 1,\ldots,n$$

if

$$u := G^x_{B(x,R)} - G^x_n \qquad \text{in } B\left(x,2^n r\right),$$

we find

$$u\left(y\right) \le \frac{c_n\left(r,x\right)}{m\left(B\left(x,2^n r\right)\right)}\left(2^n r\right)^2 \qquad \text{m-a.e. in } B\left(x,2^n r\right).$$

This yields to

$$G^x_{B(x,R)}\left(y\right) \le u\left(y\right) + \sum_{j=1}^n u_j\left(y\right)$$

$$\le \frac{c_n\left(r,x\right)}{m\left(B\left(x,2^n r\right)\right)}\left(2^n r\right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{c_j\left(r,x\right)}{m\left(B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)\right)}\left(2^{j-1}r\right)^2 \le \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{c_j\left(r,x\right)}{m\left(B\left(x,2^{j-1}r\right)\right)}\left(2^{j-1}r\right)^2.$$

$$\Longrightarrow G^x_{B(x,R)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tau^6(x) \frac{c_1^2(2^j r) \exp\left(l\gamma\mu\left(x, 2^j r\right)\right)}{m\left(B\left(x, 2^j r\right)\right)} d^2 \left(2^j r\right)^2$$

$$\Longrightarrow G^x_{B(x,R)}(y) \leq \int_r^R \tau^6(x) \frac{c_1^2(r) \exp\left(l\gamma\mu(x,s)\right)}{m\left(B(x,s)\right)} s^2 \frac{ds}{s}.$$

On the other hand, if we proceed to estimate the Green's function from below, we have to consider the following initial inequality

$$G^x_j(y) \geq \frac{c_0(x) \exp\left(-l\gamma\mu\left(x, 2^{j-1}r\right)\right)}{m\left(B\left(x, 2^{j-1}r\right)\right)} d^2 \left(2^{j-1}r\right)^2.$$

By repeating the same steps of the estimate from above we arrive at

$$G^x_{B(x,R)}(y) \geq \int_r^R \frac{c_0(x) \exp\left(-l\gamma\mu(x,s)\right)}{m\left(B(x,s)\right)} s^2 \frac{ds}{s} \tag{2.21}$$

and the desired estimate from above and below of the Green function becomes

$$\int_r^R \frac{c_0(x) \exp\left(-l\gamma\mu(x,s)\right)}{m\left(B(x,s)\right)} s^2 \frac{ds}{s} \leq G^x_{B(x,R)}(y) \leq \int_r^R \tau^6(x) \frac{c_1^2(r) \exp\left(l\gamma\mu(x,s)\right)}{m\left(B(x,s)\right)} s^2 \frac{ds}{s},$$

where we have taken the smallest radius on $c_1(r)$ because of its decreasing property.

3. ENERGY'S DECAY

We first prove a *weighted Caccioppoli's inequality.*

Proposition 1. *Let v be a local solution in $B(x_0, 4r)$,*

$$\begin{cases} a(v,w) = 0 \\ v \in \mathcal{D}_{loc}\left[B(x_0, 4r)\right], \qquad \forall w \in \mathcal{D}_0\left[B(x_0, 4r)\right] \end{cases}$$

then

$$\int_{B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0} \alpha(v,v)(dx) + \sup_{B(x_0,qr)} v^2$$

$$\leq c_q \frac{s^{3l}(x_0,r)\, c_1^4(qr)\, s_0^{18}(x_0)}{m\left(B(x_0,r)\right)} \int_{B(x_0,r)-B(x_0,qr)} v^2 m(dx), \tag{3.1}$$

where c_q is a constant depending only by q and where we have defined

$$s(x_0,r) = \sup_{z \in B(x_0,r)-B(x_0,qr)} e^{\gamma\mu(z,r)} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$s_0(x_0) = \sup_{z \in B(x_0,qr)} \tau(z), \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Let $z \in B(x_0, r)$ and $B(z, sr) \subset B(z, tr) \subset B(x_0, r)$, $s < t < 1$ and let φ be the cut-off function of $B(z, sr)$ w.r.t. $B(z, tr)$. We choose as test function $\varphi^2 v G_\rho^z$, where G_ρ^z denotes regularized Green function relative to z and to the ball $B(z, 2r)$. Since $\varphi, v, G_\rho^z \in \mathcal{D}_{loc}[B(x_0, 2r)] \cap L^\infty(B(x_0, 2r), m)$ we have

$$0 = a\left(v, \varphi^2 v G_\rho^z\right) = \int_{B(z,tr)} \alpha\left(v, \varphi^2 v G_\rho^z\right)(dx)$$

$$= \int_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 G_\rho^z \alpha(v, v)(dx) + \int_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 v \alpha\left(v, G_\rho^z\right)(dx) + \int_{B(z,tr)} v G_\rho^z \alpha\left(v, \varphi^2\right)(dx)$$

$$= \int_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 G_\rho^z \alpha(v, v)(dx) + \int_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 v \alpha\left(v, G_\rho^z\right)(dx) + \int_{B(z,tr)} v G_\rho^z 2\varphi \alpha(v, \varphi)(dx)$$

$$= \int_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 G_\rho^z \alpha(v, v)(dx) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(z,tr)} \alpha\left(\varphi^2 v^2, G_\rho^z\right)(dx) - \int_{B(z,tr)} v^2 \varphi \alpha\left(\varphi, G_\rho^z\right)(dx)$$

$$+2 \int_{B(z,tr)} v G_\rho^z \varphi \alpha(v, \varphi)(dx). \tag{3.4}$$

This implies that

$$\int_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 G_\rho^z \alpha(v, v)(dx) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(z,tr)} \alpha\left(\varphi^2 v^2, G_\rho^z\right)(dx)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(z,tr)} 2 v^2 \varphi \alpha\left(\varphi, G_\rho^z\right)(dx) - 2 \int_{B(z,tr)} v G_\rho^z \varphi \alpha(v, \varphi)(dx)$$

$$\leq \int_{B(z,tr)} \left|v^2 \varphi\right| \left|\alpha\left(\varphi, G_\rho^z\right)\right|(dx) + 2 \int_{B(z,tr)} \left|v G_\rho^z \varphi\right| \left|\alpha(v, \varphi)\right|(dx)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 G_\rho^z \alpha(v, v)(dx) + 4 \int_{B(z,tr)} v^2 G_\rho^z \alpha(\varphi, \varphi)(dx)$$

$$+\frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_{B(z,tr)-B(z,sr)} v^2 G_\rho^z \alpha(\varphi, \varphi)(dx) + \varepsilon \int_{B(z,tr)-B(z,sr)} v^2 \varphi^2 \left(G_\rho^z\right)^{-1} \alpha\left(G_\rho^z, G_\rho^z\right)(dx). \tag{3.5}$$

We will now estimate the last term at the r.h.s. of (3.5). Let σ be the cut-off function of the annulus $B(z, tr) - B(z, sr)$ w.r.t. the balls B$\left(z, \frac{s^2}{t} r\right)$ and

B$(z,(2t-s)\,r)$, then by applying Lemma (7.2) of Ref.[1] with $f=\sigma\varphi v$, we find

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \sigma^2 v^2\varphi^2 \left(G_\rho^z\right)^{-1}\alpha\left(G_\rho^z,G_\rho^z\right)(dx)$$

$$\leq 4\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} v^2\varphi^2\left(G_\rho^z\right)^2\alpha\left(\sigma\varphi v,\sigma\varphi v\right)(dx)\,. \tag{3.6}$$

By means of Schwarz rule applied to $\alpha\left(\sigma\varphi v,\sigma\varphi v\right)$, we have

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \left(G_\rho^z\right)^2\alpha\left(\sigma\varphi v,\sigma\varphi v\right)(dx)\leq 3\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} \left(G_\rho^z\right)^2\varphi^2\alpha\left(v,v\right)(dx)$$

$$+\frac{120}{\frac{s^2}{t^2}\left(t-s\right)^2r^2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2\left(G_\rho^z\right)^2\ m\left(dx\right). \tag{3.7}$$

Substituting (3.7) in (3.6), it follows

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2\varphi^2\alpha\left(G_\rho^z,G_\rho^z\right)(dx)\leq\frac{480}{\frac{s^2}{t^2}\left(t-s\right)^2r^2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2\left(G_\rho^z\right)^2\ m\left(dx\right)$$

$$+12\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} \varphi^2\left(G_\rho^z\right)^2\alpha\left(v,v\right)(dx)\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Let us consider

$$\frac{\sup G_\rho^z}{\inf G_\rho^z},$$

where the sup and the inf are taken on $B\left(z,tr\right)-B\left(z,s^*r\right)$ with $\rho<s^*r$,we have that

$$0<\frac{\sup G_\rho^z}{\inf G_\rho^z}\leq\tilde{c}\tau^{10}\left(z\right)\exp\left(2l\gamma\mu\left(z,r\right)\right)\left(t/s^*\right)^{\nu-2}c_1^2\left(r\right). \tag{3.9}$$

By multiplying inequality (3.8) by $\sup\limits_{z\in B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)}\left(G_\rho^z\right)^{-1}$ and taking account of estimates (2.3), we obtain

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2\varphi^2\left(G_\rho^z\right)^{-1}\alpha\left(G_\rho^z,G_\rho^z\right)(dx)$$

$$\leq\frac{\tau^{10}\left(z\right)480\tilde{c}e^{2l\gamma\mu(z,r)}c_1^2\left(r\right)}{\left(s/t\right)^{2\nu-2}\left(t-s\right)^2r^2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2G_\rho^z\ m\left(dx\right)$$

$$+12\tilde{c}\frac{\tau^{10}\left(z\right)e^{2l\gamma\mu(z,r)}c_1^2\left(r\right)}{\left(s/t\right)^{2\nu-4}}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2G_\rho^z\alpha\left(v,v\right)(dx)\,. \tag{3.10}$$

Putting (3.8) in (3.5), taking account of the properties of φ and choosing $\varepsilon\tilde{c} = \exp\left(-2l\gamma\mu\left(z,r\right)\right)\left(s/t\right)^{2\nu-2}\tau^{-10}\left(z\right)/\left(24c_1^2\left(r\right)\right)$, we obtain

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 G_\rho^z \alpha\left(v,v\right)\left(dx\right) + \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \alpha\left(v^2\varphi^2, G_\rho^z\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 G_\rho^z \alpha\left(v,v\right)\left(dx\right) + 4\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} v^2 G_\rho^z \alpha\left(\varphi,\varphi\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$+6\tilde{c}\tau^{10}\left(z\right)e^{2l\gamma\mu(z,r)}c_1^2\left(r\right)\left(s/t\right)^{2\nu-2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2 G_\rho^z \alpha\left(\varphi,\varphi\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$+\frac{20}{\left(t-s\right)^2 r^2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2 G_\rho^z\ m\left(dx\right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{s^2}{t^2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2 G_\rho^z \alpha\left(v,v\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{c\tau^{10}\left(z\right)e^{2l\gamma\mu(z,r)}c_1^2\left(r\right)\left(s/t\right)^{2\nu-2}}{\left(t-s\right)^2 r^2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2 G_\rho^z\ m\left(dx\right). \tag{3.11}$$

Since $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B\left(z,sr\right)$ and by the definition of G_ρ^z and recalling that $\fint\limits_{B(z,\rho)} = \left(\frac{1}{m(B(z,\rho))}\right)\int\limits_{B(z,\rho)}$,

we have

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \varphi^2\left(G_\rho^z\right)^2 \alpha\left(v,v\right)\left(dx\right) + \frac{1}{2}\fint\limits_{B(z,\rho)} v^2\ m\left(dx\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{c\tau^{10}\left(z\right)e^{2l\gamma\mu(z,r)}c_1^2\left(r\right)\left(s/t\right)^{2\nu-2}}{\left(t-s\right)^2 r^2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2 G_\rho^z\ m\left(dx\right). \tag{3.12}$$

Take the limit $\rho \to 0^+$, then $G_\rho^z \to G^z$ uniformly in $B\left(z,tr\right) - B\left(z,s^*r\right)$, $\forall s^*$ fixed. By the Lebesgue theorem in Ref.[2], we obtain for m-a.e.

$$\int\limits_{B(z,sr)} G^z \alpha\left(v,v\right)\left(dx\right) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{v}\left(z\right)^2$$

$$\leq \frac{c\tau^{10}\left(z\right)e^{2l\gamma\mu(z,r)}c_1^2\left(r\right)\left(s/t\right)^{2\nu-2}}{\left(t-s\right)^2 r^2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,s^*r)} v^2 G^z\ m\left(dx\right). \tag{3.13}$$

We take the sup for $z \in B\left(x_0,qr\right)$ by choosing $q \in \left(0,\frac{1}{3}\right)$, $s = \left[2q\left(1-q\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $t = 1-q$. Then $B\left(z,tr\right) - B\left(z,s^*r\right) \subset B\left(x_0,r\right) - B\left(x_0,qr\right)$ and $\forall z \in B\left(x_0,qr\right)$ we get

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0}\alpha\left(v,v\right)\left(dx\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sup_{B(x_0,qr)} v^2$$

$$\le c_q \frac{s_0^{10}(x_0)\, s^{2l}(x_0,r)\, c_1^2(r)}{r^2} \sup_{z\in B(x_0,qr)} \int\limits_{B(z,r)-B(z,qr)} v^2 G^z \ m(dx)$$

$$\le c_q \frac{s^{3l}(x_0,r)\, c_1^4(qr)\, s_0^{16}(x_0)}{m(B(z,qr))} \int\limits_{B(x_0,r)-B(x_0,qr)} v^2 \ m(dx)$$

$$\le c_q \frac{s^{3l}(x_0,r)\, c_1^4(qr)\, s_0^{18}(x_0)}{m(B(x_0,r))} \int\limits_{B(x_0,r)-B(x_0,qr)} v^2 \ m(dx) \tag{3.14}$$

with $c_q = cq^{-\nu}(s/t)^{2\nu-2}/(t-s)^2$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let us consider the test function $w=(u-k)\,G_\rho^z\varphi$, where G_ρ^z is the regularized Green function relative to z and to the ball $B(z,tr)$, φ is the capacitory potential of $B(z,sr)$ w.r.t. $B(z,tr)$. $z\in B(x_0,qr)$, $s<t<1, q$ to be fixed. k is a constant. We have

$$0=\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \alpha\left(u,(u-k)\,\varphi G_\rho^z\right)(dx)$$

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \varphi G_\rho^z \alpha(u,u)(dx) + \int\limits_{B(z,tr)} (u-k)\,\varphi\alpha\left(u,G_\rho^z\right)(dx) + \int\limits_{B(z,tr)} (u-k)\,G_\rho^z\alpha(u,\varphi)(dx)$$

$$=\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \varphi G_\rho^z\alpha(u,u)(dx) + \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \alpha\left((u-k)^2\varphi, G_\rho^z\right)(dx)$$

$$+\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} (u-k)\,G_\rho^z\alpha(u,\varphi)(dx) - \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} (u-k)^2\alpha\left(\varphi,G_\rho^z\right)(dx). \tag{3.15}$$

Then for $\rho<r$, we get

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \varphi G_\rho^z\alpha(u,u)(dx) + \frac{1}{2}\fint\limits_{B(z,\rho)} (u-k)^2\, m(dx)$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} (u-k)^2\alpha\left(\varphi,G_\rho^z\right)(dx) - \int\limits_{B(z,tr)} (u-k)\,G_\rho^z\alpha(u,\varphi)(dx)$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} \alpha\left(\varphi, G_\rho^z(u-k)^2\right)(dx) - 2\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} (u-k)\,G_\rho^z\alpha(u,\varphi)(dx)$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} G_\rho^z(\tilde{u}-k)^2\, d\nu_{B(z,sr)} - 2\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} (u-k)\,G_\rho^z\alpha(u,\varphi)(dx)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2}\sup_{B(z,tr)}(u-k)^2 + 2\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} (u-k)\,G_\rho^z\alpha(u,\varphi)(dx)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\sup_{B(z,tr)}(u-k)^2+\frac{1}{\eta}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} G^z_\rho\alpha(u,u)(dx)+\eta\int\limits_{B(z,tr)}(u-k)^2\, G^z_\rho\alpha(\varphi,\varphi)(dx)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\sup_{B(z,tr)}(u-k)^2+\frac{1}{\eta}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)} G^z_\rho\alpha(u,u)(dx)$$

$$+\eta\sup_{B(z,tr)}(u-k)^2\sup_{B(z,tr)-B(z,sr)} G^z_\rho\; cap\left(B(z,sr),B(z,tr)\right). \tag{3.16}$$

Then, by the max principle and by Theorem (4), we have for arbitrary $\eta>0$

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)}\varphi G^z_\rho\alpha(u,u)(dx)+\frac{1}{2}\fint\limits_{B(z,\rho)}(u-k)^2\, m(dx)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\sup_{B(z,tr)}(u-k)^2+\frac{1}{\eta}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,sr)} G^z_\rho\alpha(u,u)(dx)$$

$$+\eta\sup_{B(z,tr)}(u-k)^2\sup_{B(z,tr)-B(z,sr)} G^z_\rho\; cap\left(B(z,sr),B(z,tr)\right) \tag{3.17}$$

Moreover, the application of Harnack's inequality on Green's function gives

$$\sup_{B(z,tr)-B(z,sr)} G^z_\rho\; cap\left(B(z,sr),B(z,tr)\right)$$

$$\leq e^{l\gamma\mu(z,r)}\inf_{B(z,tr)-B(z,sr)} G^z_\rho\; cap\left(B(z,sr),B(z,tr)\right)$$

$$\leq c\tau^8(z)\, c_1^2(r)\, e^{2l\gamma\mu(z,r)}, \tag{3.18}$$

where $l=16^{-\nu}\sup\limits_{x\in B(x_0,2R)} c_0^{-1}(x)$. This implies that

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)}\varphi G^z_\rho\alpha(u,u)(dx)+\frac{1}{2}\fint\limits_{B(z,\rho)}(u-k)^2\, m(dx)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\sup_{B(z,tr)}(u-k)^2+\eta c c\tau^8(z)\, c_1^2(r)\sup_{z\in B(z,tr)-B(z,sr)} e^{2l\gamma\mu(z,r)}\sup_{B(z,tr)}(u-k)^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{\eta}\int\limits_{B(z,tr)-B(z,sr)} G^z_\rho\alpha(u,u)(dx) \tag{3.19}$$

Then, passing to the limit as $\rho\to 0$ we obtain, by Lebesgue theorem in [2], for m-a.e.z

$$\int\limits_{B(z,tr)}\varphi G^z_{B(x,tr)}\alpha(u,u)(dx)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{u}(z)-k\right)^2$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta c c\tau^{8}\left(z\right)c_{1}^{2}\left(r\right)\sup_{z\in B\left(z,tr\right)-B\left(z,sr\right)}e^{2l\gamma\mu\left(z,r\right)}\right)\sup_{B\left(z,tr\right)}\left(u-k\right)^{2}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\eta}\int\limits_{B\left(z,tr\right)-B\left(z,sr\right)}G^{z}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right). \tag{3.20}$$

We now choose $t=1-q, s=2q$, with $q\in\left(0,\frac{1}{6}\right]$. From

$$\sup_{B\left(z,tr\right)}\left(u-k\right)^{2}\leq\left(1+2\eta c c\tau^{8}\left(z\right)c_{1}^{2}\left(r\right)\sup_{z\in B\left(z,tr\right)-B\left(z,sr\right)}e^{2l\gamma\mu\left(z,r\right)}\right)\sup_{B\left(z,tr\right)}\left(u-k\right)^{2}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\eta}\int\limits_{B\left(z,tr\right)-B\left(z,sr\right)}G_{\rho}^{z}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right), \tag{3.21}$$

we take the supremum for $z\in B\left(x_{0},qr\right)$. Then from Theorem (4) we have

$$\sup_{B\left(x_{0},qr\right)}\left(u-k\right)^{2}\leq\left(1+2\eta c s_{0}^{8}\left(x_{0}\right)c_{1}^{2}\left(r\right)s^{2l}\left(x_{0},r\right)\right)\sup_{B\left(x_{0},r\right)}\left(u-k\right)^{2}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{s^{2l}\left(x_{0},r\right)}{cap\left(B\left(x_{0},r\right),B\left(x_{0},qr\right)\right)}\right)\int\limits_{B\left(x_{0},r\right)-B\left(x_{0},qr\right)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$\leq\left(1+2\eta c s_{0}^{8}\left(x_{0}\right)c_{1}^{2}\left(r\right)s^{2l}\left(x_{0},r\right)\right)\sup_{B\left(x_{0},r\right)}\left(u-k\right)^{2}$$

$$+\frac{s^{2l}\left(x_{0},r\right)}{\eta}\int\limits_{B\left(x_{0},r\right)-B\left(x_{0},qr\right)}e^{l\gamma\mu\left(x_{0},r\right)}G_{B\left(x_{0},2r\right)}^{z}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right), \tag{3.22}$$

with $s\left(x_{0},r\right)$ defined as in Eq.(3.2). From Proposition (1), it follows that

$$\int\limits_{B\left(x_{0},qr\right)}G^{x_{0}}\alpha\left(v,v\right)\left(dx\right)+\frac{1}{2}\sup_{B\left(x_{0},qr\right)}v^{2}$$

$$\leq c_{q}\frac{s^{3l}\left(x_{0},r\right)c_{1}^{4}\left(qr\right)s_{0}^{18}\left(x_{0}\right)}{m\left(B\left(x_{0},r\right)\right)}\int\limits_{B\left(x_{0},r\right)-B\left(x_{0},qr\right)}v^{2}\ m\left(dx\right)$$

$$\leq c_{q}c_{1}^{4}\left(qr\right)s^{3l}\left(x_{0},r\right)s_{0}^{18}\left(x_{0}\right)\sup_{B\left(x_{0},r\right)}v^{2}, \tag{3.23}$$

where $s_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ has been defined in Eq.(3.3). Thus

$$\int\limits_{B\left(x_{0},qr\right)}G_{B\left(x_{0},2r\right)}^{x_{0}}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$\leq c_{q}c_{1}^{4}\left(qr\right)s^{3l}\left(x_{0},r\right)s_{0}^{18}\left(x_{0}\right)\sup_{B\left(x_{0},r\right)}\left(u-k\right)^{2}. \tag{3.24}$$

Then, by (3.22) we obtain

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)(dx)+\sup_{B(x_0,qr)}\left(u-k\right)^2$$

$$\le\left[c_qc_1^4\left(qr\right)s^{3l}\left(x_0,r\right)s_0^{18}\left(x_0\right)+1+2\eta cs_0^8\left(x_0\right)c_1^2\left(r\right)s^{2l}\left(x_0,r\right)\right]\sup_{B(x_0,r)}\left(u-k\right)^2$$

$$+\frac{s^{3l}\left(x_0,r\right)}{\eta}\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)-B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)(dx)\,. \tag{3.25}$$

By "hole filling" after having multiplied by η, we obtain

$$\left(s^{3l}\left(x_0,r\right)+\eta\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)(dx)+\eta\sup_{B(x_0,qr)}\left|u-k\right|^2$$

$$\le\eta\sup_{B(x_0,r)}\left(u-k\right)^2\left[c_qc_1^4\left(qr\right)s^{3l}\left(x_0,r\right)s_0^{18}\left(x_0\right)+1+2\eta cs_0^8\left(x_0\right)c_1^2\left(r\right)s^{2l}\left(x_0,r\right)\right]$$

$$+s^{3l}\left(x_0,r\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)(dx)\,. \tag{3.26}$$

We now study the last term at the right hand side of (3.26)

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)(dx)=\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)(dx)$$

$$-\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)}\left(G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}-G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\right)\alpha\left(u,u\right)(dx)\,. \tag{3.27}$$

Here we have taken into account that

$$F=G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}-G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}$$

is a solution of the problem

$$a\left(F,v\right)=0,\qquad\forall v\in\mathcal{D}\left[B\left(x_0,2r\right)\right],$$

therefore by the maximum principle and Theorem (4)

$$\inf_{B(x_0,r)}F\ge\inf_{\partial B(x_0,2r)}\tilde{F}=\inf_{\partial B(x_0,2r)}G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}$$

$$\ge c\exp\left(-l\gamma\mu\left(x_0,r\right)\right)\left(\frac{c_0\left(x_0\right)r^2}{m\left(B\left(x_0,r\right)\right)}\right). \tag{3.28}$$

Therefore, by Poincaré inequality, we also have for arbitrary $\bar{q}\in(0,1)$

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)(dx)\le\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)(dx)$$

$$-c\exp\left(-l\gamma\mu\left(x_0,r\right)\right)\left(\frac{c_0\left(x_0\right)r^2}{m\left(B\left(x_0,r\right)\right)}\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$\leq\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)}G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$-c\left(\frac{c_0\left(x_0\right)\exp\left(-\gamma\mu\left(x_0,r\right)\right)r^2}{m\left(B\left(x_0,r\right)\right)c_1\left(\kappa^{-1}\bar{q}r\right)\left(\kappa^{-1}\bar{q}r\right)^2}\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,\kappa^{-1}\bar{q}r)}\left|u-\bar{u}\right|^2m\left(dx\right), \tag{3.29}$$

where $\bar{u}$ denotes the average of u on $B\left(x_0,\kappa^{-1}\bar{q}r\right)$. By choosing $\bar{q}$ such that $\kappa^{-1}\bar{q}=q$, we find

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)}G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)\leq\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)}G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$-c\left(\frac{c_0\left(x_0\right)\exp\left(-\gamma\mu\left(x_0,r\right)\right)}{m\left(B\left(x_0,r\right)\right)}\right)\frac{1}{c_1\left(qr\right)}\sup_{B(x_0,qr)}\left|u-\bar{u}\right|^2m\left(B\left(x_0,qr\right)\right), \tag{3.30}$$

while taking the doubling property of m into account,

$$\frac{m\left(B\left(x_0,qr\right)\right)}{m\left(B\left(x_0,r\right)\right)}\geq c_0\left(x_0\right)q^{\nu},$$

we obtain that

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)}G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)\leq\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)}G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$-cq^{\nu-2}\left(\exp\left(-l\gamma\mu\left(x_0,r\right)\right)\right)\frac{c_0^2\left(x_0\right)}{c_1\left(qr\right)}\sup_{B(x_0,qr)}\left|u-\bar{u}\right|^2. \tag{3.31}$$

Taking into account (3.31) and choosing $\bar{u}=k$ in (3.26), we obtain

$$\left(s^{3l}\left(x_0,r\right)+\eta\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,qr)}G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$+\left(\eta+cq^{\nu-2}\left(\exp\left(-l\gamma\mu\left(x_0,r\right)\right)\right)\frac{c_0^2\left(x_0\right)}{c_1\left(qr\right)}\right)\sup_{B(x_0,qr)}\left|u-\bar{u}\right|^2 \tag{3.32}$$

$$\leq\eta\sup_{B(x_0,r)}\left|u-\bar{u}\right|^2\left[c_qc_1^4\left(qr\right)s^{3l}\left(x_0,r\right)s_0^{18}\left(x_0\right)+1+2\eta cs_0^8\left(x_0\right)c_1^2\left(r\right)s^{2l}\left(x_0,r\right)\right]$$

$$+s^{3l}\left(x_0,r\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)}G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right). \tag{3.33}$$

Since

$$s\left(x_0,r\right)=\sup_{z\in B(x_0,r)-B(x_0,qr)}e^{\gamma\mu(x_0,r)}=\exp\bar{s}\left(x_0,r\right) \tag{3.34}$$

with $\bar{s}\left(x_0, r\right) = \sup\limits_{z \in B(x_0,r) - B(x_0,qr)} \gamma\mu\left(x_0, r\right)$, we now choose $\eta = \exp\left(-2l\bar{s}\left(x_0, r\right)\right)$ and dividing by

$$\exp\left(3l\bar{s}\left(x_0, r\right)\right) + \eta, \tag{3.35}$$

we have

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)} \alpha\left(u, u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\left(e^{-2l\bar{s}(x_0,r)} + cq^{\nu-2} e^{-l\gamma\mu(x_0,r)} \frac{c_0^2(x_0)}{c_1(qr)}\right)}{e^{3l\bar{s}(x_0,r)} + e^{-2l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}} \sup_{B(x_0,qr)} \left|u - \bar{u}\right|^2$$

$$\leq \frac{\left[c_q c_1^4\left(qr\right) e^{3l\bar{s}(x_0,r)} s_0^{18}\left(x_0\right) + 1 + 2\eta c s_0^8\left(x_0\right) c_1^2\left(r\right) e^{2l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}\right]}{e^{5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)} + 1} \sup_{B(x_0,r)} \left|u - \bar{u}\right|^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1 + e^{-5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}} \int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)} \alpha\left(u, u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{3c_q c_1^2\left(qr\right) s_0^{18}\left(x_0\right) e^{3l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}}{e^{5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)} + 1} \sup_{B(x_0,r)} \left|u - \bar{u}\right|^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1 + e^{-5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}} \int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)} \alpha\left(u, u\right)\left(dx\right). \tag{3.36}$$

On the other hand the first member of previous inequality becomes

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)} \alpha\left(u, u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\left(1 + cq^{\nu-2} e^{2l\bar{s}(x_0,r)} e^{-l\gamma\mu(x_0,r)} \frac{c_0^2(x_0)}{c_1(qr)}\right)}{e^{5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)} + 1} \sup_{B(x_0,qr)} \left|u - \bar{u}\right|^2.$$

This means that

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)} \alpha\left(u, u\right)\left(dx\right) \leq \frac{3c_q c_1^2\left(qr\right) s_0^{18}\left(x_0\right) e^{3l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}}{e^{5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)} + 1} \sup_{B(x_0,r)} \left|u - \bar{u}\right|^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1 + e^{-5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}} \int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)} \alpha\left(u, u\right)\left(dx\right) \leq 2cc_1^2\left(qr\right) e^{-2l\bar{s}(x_0,r)} \sup_{B(x_0,r)} \left|u - \bar{u}\right|^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1 + e^{-5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}} \int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)} \alpha\left(u, u\right)\left(dx\right). \tag{3.37}$$

In the last inequality $\sup\limits_{B(x_0,r)} |u-\bar{u}|^2$ can be related to the energy by [6]

$$\sup_{B(x_0,r)} |u-\bar{u}|^2 \le c\left(\underset{B(x_0,R)}{osc} u\right)^2 \exp\left(-\int\limits_r^R \exp\left(-2\gamma\mu\left(x_0,\rho\right)\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\right)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{m\left(B\left(x_0,kR\right)\right)}\exp\left(-\int\limits_r^R \exp\left(-2\gamma\mu\left(x_0,\rho\right)\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,kR)} |u-\bar{u}|^2\, m\left(dx\right)$$

$$\le ce^{2l\gamma\mu(x,r)}c_1^2\left(R\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,k^2R)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)\cdot$$

$$\cdot\exp\left(-\int\limits_r^R \exp\left(-2\gamma\mu\left(x_0,\rho\right)\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\right). \tag{3.38}$$

Then

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$\le cc_1^2\left(qr\right)c_1^2\left(R\right)\exp\left(-\int\limits_r^R \exp\left(-2\gamma\mu\left(x_0,\rho\right)\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\right)\cdot$$

$$\cdot\int\limits_{B(x_0,k^2R)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)+\frac{1}{1+e^{-5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}}\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right). \tag{3.39}$$

If we consider $u\in\mathcal{D}\left[a,B\left(x_0,R_0\right)\right]$, $B\left(x_0,R_0\right)\subset X$ and $R<kR$, one gets

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)\le\int\limits_{B(x_0,kR)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right) \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,qr)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$\le cc_1^4\left(qr\right)\exp\left(-\int\limits_r^R \exp\left(-2\gamma\mu\left(x_0,\rho\right)\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,k^2R)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{e^{5l\bar{s}(x_0,r)}+1}\int\limits_{B(x_0,kR)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\left(u,u\right)\left(dx\right)$$

$$\leq cc_1^4\,(qr)\exp\left(-\int\limits_r^R \exp\left(-2\gamma\mu\,(x_0,\rho)\,\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,k^2R)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\,(u,u)\,(dx)$$

$$+\exp\left(-\int\limits_r^R \exp\left(-5l\gamma\mu\,(x_0,\rho)\right)\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\int\limits_{B(x_0,k^2R)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\,(u,u)\,(dx)\,. \tag{3.41}$$

If we make the choice $\gamma\mu\,(x,r)\leq o\left(\log\log\frac{1}{r}\right)$, we obtain by the previous inequality

$$\psi\,(r)\leq 2\exp\left(-\int\limits_r^R \exp\left(-\log\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right)\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)\psi\left(k^2R\right)$$

$$\leq\left(\frac{\log\frac{1}{R}}{\log\frac{1}{r}}\right)\psi\left(k^2R\right), \tag{3.42}$$

where

$$\psi\,(r)=\int\limits_{B(x_0,r)} G^{x_0}_{B(x_0,2q^{-1}r)}\alpha\,(u,u)\,(dx)\,. \tag{3.43}$$

References

[1] Biroli M., Mosco U., *A Saint-Venant Type Principle for Dirichlet Forms on Discontinuous Media,* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (IV), **169**, (1995), pp. 125-181.

[2] A.P. Calderon, *Inequalities for the maximal function relative to a metric,* Studia Math., **57** (1975), pp.297-306.

[3] Franchi B., Lu G., Wheeden R.L., *Weighted Poincaré Inequalities for Hörmander Vector Fields and local regularity for a class of degenerate elliptic equations,* M. Biroli Ed., "Potential Theory and Degenerate Partial Differential Operators", Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[4] Fukushima M., *Dirichlet forms and Markov processes,* North Holland Math. Library.

[5] Garattini R., *Harnack's Inequality on Homogeneous Spaces,* to appear in Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata, Archive funct-an/9604004.

[6] Mosco U., *Wiener Criterion and Potential Estimated for the Obstacle Problem,* Indiana Un. Math. J., 3, 36 (1987), 455-494.